Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2023 to 2024 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Kingswood Parks Primary School
Number of pupils in school	692
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	7.9%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2022 – 2025
Date this statement was published	20 th July 2023
Date on which it will be reviewed	20 th July 2024
Statement authorised by	Nicola Loten
Pupil premium lead	Simon Harris
Governor / Trustee lead	Jamie Pearce

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£42,195
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£6960
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year	£49155
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Kingswood Park Primary school is located within Kingston upon Hull which remains one of the most deprived Local Authorities nationally. The level of deprivation in the school is considerably lower than the LA average with 1% of households categorised as being within 10% of the most deprived households nationally. Although Hull is considered a less affluent part of the country, the School itself is considered to be located in the second quartile of least deprived areas.

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through the National Tutoring Programme for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers.
2	Internal and external (where available) assessments indicate that reading, and writing attainment among disadvantaged pupils is below that of non-disadvantaged pupils. This gap remains steady to the end of Y5.
3	Our assessments and observations indicate that the education and wellbeing of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by partial school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These findings are supported by national studies.
	This has resulted in some knowledge gaps leading to pupils falling further behind age-related expectations, especially in maths (times tables.)
4	Our assessments (including wellbeing survey), observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils, notably due to bullying, and a lack of enrichment opportunities during school closure. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment.
	Teacher referrals for support have markedly increased during the pandemic. 40 pupils (10 of whom are disadvantaged) currently require additional support with social and emotional needs.
5	Our attendance data over the last year indicates that attendance among disadvantaged pupils has been between 1% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils.
	29.5% of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persistently absent' compared to 18.9% of their peers during that period. Our assessments and observations indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved phonic skills and vocabulary among disadvantaged pupils.	Assessments and observations indicate significantly improved oral language among disadvantaged pupils. This is evident when triangulated with other sources of evidence, including engagement in lessons, book scrutiny and ongoing formative and summative assessment.

Improved reading, writing and maths attainment among disadvantaged pupils.		Reading, writing and maths outcomes in Y1 – Y6 show that more than 80% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.
To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school, particularly our		Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by:
disadvantaged pupils.		 qualitative data from student voice, stu- dent and parent surveys and teacher ob- servations
		a significant reduction in bullying
		a significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils
To achieve and sustain	Sustained high atte	endance from 2024/25 demonstrated by:
improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	the overall absence rate for all papils being no more than	

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £ [insert amount]

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Purchase of standardised diagnostic assessments. Training for staff to ensure assessments are interpreted and administered correctly.	Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction: Standardised tests Assessing and Monitoring Pupil Progress Education Endowment Foundation EEF	1, 2, 3, 4
Continue training of DfE validated Systematic Synthetic Phonics programme to secure stronger phonics teaching for all pupils.	Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base that indicates a positive impact on the accuracy of word reading (though not necessarily comprehension), particularly for disadvantaged pupils: Phonics Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	1
Enhancement of our maths teaching and curriculum planning in line with DfE and EEF guidance. We will fund teacher release time to embed key elements of guidance in school and to access Maths Hub resources and CPD (including Teaching for Mastery training).	The DfE non-statutory guidance has been produced in conjunction with the National Centre for Excellence in the Teaching of Mathematics, drawing on evidence-based approaches: Maths guidance KS 1 and 2.pdf (publishing.service.gov.uk) The EEF guidance is based on a range of the best available evidence: Improving Mathematics in Key Stages 2 and 3 Emphasis on improving children's recall of multiplication facts.	1
Improve the quality of social and emotional (SEL) learning.	There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later	4

SEL approaches will be embed- ded into routine educational practices and supported by pro-	life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers):	
fessional development and training for staff.	EEF Social and Emotional Learning.pdf(e ducationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £ [insert amount]

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Additional phonics sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further phonics support. This will be delivered in collaboration with our local English hub.	Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base indicating a positive impact on pupils, particularly from disadvantaged backgrounds. Targeted phonics interventions have been shown to be more effective when delivered as regular sessions over a period up to 12 weeks: Phonics Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	1
Engaging with the National Tutoring Programme to provide a blend of tuition, mentoring and school- led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the pandemic. A significant proportion of the pupils who receive tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers.	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationen-dowmentfoundation.org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	1,2,3,4

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £ [insert amount]

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Well-being, social and emotional development, inclusion: Parental liaison officer (32.5 hours) with clear job description, role and responsibilities including: Work with Attendance Officer Support safeguarding (£10,000) Specialised support and partner agency working: ELSA support 1 TA fulltime and 1 TA part time. (£20,000) SEMH support — focus on most vulnerable (CLA, CIN, EHASH, those with social worker)	Evidence suggests that children from disadvantaged backgrounds have, on average, weaker SEL skills at all ages than their more affluent peers. These skills are likely to influence a range of outcomes for pupils: lower SEL skills are linked with poorer mental health and lower academic attainment. SEL interventions in education are shown to improve SEL skills and are therefore likely to support disadvantaged pupils to understand and engage in healthy relationships with peers and emotional self-regulation, both of which may subsequently increase academic attainment. Schools should carefully consider how targeted approaches are deployed to support pupils with additional social or emotional needs. SEL needs will be based on a variety of factors that may not correspond to academic progress and should be carefully monitored. Education Endowment Foundation - T&L Toolkit - Meta Cognition and Self-Regulation Evidence to suggest that disadvantaged pupils are less likely to use metacognitive and self-regulatory strategies without being explicitly taught these strategies. Explicit teaching of metacognitive and self-regulatory strategies could therefore encourage such pupils to practise and use these skills more frequently in the future. With explicit teaching and feedback, pupils are more likely to use these strategies independently and habitually, enabling them to manage their own learning and overcome challenges themselves in the	4, 5

Whole staff training on behaviour management and antibullying approaches with the aim of developing our school ethos and improving behaviour across school.	Both targeted interventions and universal approaches can have positive overall effects: Behaviour interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	4
Embedding principles of good practice set out in the DfE's Improving School Attendance advice.	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence.	5
This will involve training and release time for staff to develop and implement new procedures and appointing attendance/support officers to improve attendance.		

Total budgeted cost: £ [insert sum of 3 amounts stated above]

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

The school has a low number of pupil premium children across the school so data is not always meaningful when there are only 2 children in a year group in receipt of the funding.

The school had 2 children in EYFS who were in receipt of pupil premium funding. 1 child achieved the ELG goal and the other child didn't. There is a percentage gap of -19 which is the same as the gap nationally 2022.

In Year 1 Phonics Screening there were 2 children in receipt of pupil premium funding. 1 child passed the phonics screen check. This left a gap of -30 which is bigger than the national gap. The number of pupil premium children in the cohort is very small making the percentages not as meaningful.

The children in Year 2 in receipt of pupil premium funding is 10 and 8 of them passed the phonics screening check. The attainment gap between them and national is -10 which is in line with the gap nationally.

In Year 2, 7 of the children in receipt of pupil premium funding achieved the expected level in reading, 6 in writing and 8 in maths. in reading the gap was -2 which is better than national, in writing the gap was - 3 which is the same as national and in maths the gap was 7 which is better than national.

In Year 4 there were 11 children in receipt of pupil premium. The mean score attainment national gap was -2.6. At Kingswood Parks it was -3.6 which is larger than the attainment gap nationally. This will be a focus this year.

In Year 6 the performance of Kingswood Parks pupil premium combined was -9 compared with all other nationally where the gap was -22.

Attendance for disadvantaged is 94.26% compared with others national at 94%.

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

Measure	Details
How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year?	
What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils?	

Further information (optional)

Additional activity

Our pupil premium strategy will be supplemented by additional activity that is not being funded by pupil premium or recovery premium. That will include:

- Engagement with English Hub and access to funding to support the effective implementation of a synthetic systematic phonics programme
- Developing reading comprehension strategies focusing on the understanding of written text. This will form part of whole class teaching and then practiced in small groups / pairs.
- Extending the range of extra-curricular provision to support the well-being, behaviour and attendance of our most vulnerable pupils. This will supplement the more formal support identified within our spending plan with the aim of further developing resilience and self-confidence. Disadvantaged pupils will be prioritised for access to these activities.

Planning, implementation, and evaluation

In planning our new pupil premium strategy, we ensured that we followed the guidance provided by the Education Endowment Foundation. We also commissioned the support of an accredited Pupil Premium Reviewer.

We took evidence from the outcomes of a range of monitoring including the analysis of internal data, lesson observations, pupil and staff interviews and work scrutiny. The views of parents and carers were also sought and considered. As a school in a large trust we were able to benchmark ourselves against schools within HET and also looked outside to schools which are contextually similar to Kingswood Parks and whose disadvantaged pupils perform well. This reinforced that careful attention is given to the implementation stage. Education Endowment Foundation - Putting evidence to work: A school's guide to implementation

We looked at external evidence around the impact of school closures due to Covid-19 and it is clear that the attainment gap has grown. <u>Education Endowment Foundation - summary of research on impact of Covid-19 on the attainment gap</u> The economic impact of lockdown has resulted in more pupils becoming eligible for the Pupil Premium and the importance of understanding barriers to learning and adopting a 'less is more' focused approach cannot be over emphasised.

Within school and across the Trust we have robust evaluation procedures and these will help us identify successes and make amendments to our plans, if needed to secure the best possible outcomes for our disadvantaged pupils.